

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STAYES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: CSMMSSIGNER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Mrginia 22313-1450 www.usblo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/602,573	06/24/2003	Brian R. Tunning	MS1-1484US	. 1776	
22801	7590 07/20/2006		EXAMINER		
LEE & HAYES PLLC			PATEL, MANGLESH M		
421 W RIVERSIDE AVENUE SUITE 500 SPOKANE, WA 99201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
J. J			2178		
			DATE MAILED: 07/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/602,573	TUNNING, BRIAN R.
Examiner	Art Unit
Manglesh M. Patel	2178

		Manglesh M. Palei	2170						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
	PLY FILED <u>03 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP								
thi pla a	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL									
2. 🏻 Th fili a	ne Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp ng the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed MENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since					
3. 🛛 T	he proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  They raise new issues that would require further co	but prior to the date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause					
(b	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	ow);		the issues for					
. (d	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. 🔲 т	the amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
	pplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,					
6. 🔲 N	lewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a n-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the					
7. X F ho Th	or purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) by the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro ne status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	ill be entered and an o	explanation of					
C	aim(s) allowed: aim(s) objected to: aim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,13-33,35-59 and 61-68</u> . aim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
	VIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. 🔲 TI	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good areas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and					
eı	ne affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to nowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
	The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:					
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)						

The proposed amendment reflects a change in scope to the Independent claims and raises new issues for the examiner to consider. Therefore said amendment will not be entered because said amendment would require further search and consideration by the Examiner.

CESAR PAULA PRIMARY EXAMINER